Impact of Air-Flow Rate and Biochar Addition on the Oxygen Concentration in Waste and Emitted Gases During Biostabilization of Undersized Fraction from Municipal Solid Waste
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ABSTRACT
Mechanical biological treatment of waste is still one of the most popular methods for mixed municipal waste treatment. The result of mechanical processing of waste is sorting out: the undersized fraction from municipal solid waste (UFMSW) with granulation below 80 mm, rich in biodegradable organic waste (mainly including food waste, paper, wood, etc.). UFMSW is treated in biological processes in order to reduce the negative environmental effect of this waste. Unfortunately, the processing is not neutral to the environment. The correct course of the aerobic biostabilization process depends on the activity of microorganisms, the intensity of aeration, and the oxygen content in the processed waste. The aim of this paper was to analyze the effect of air-flow rate and biochar addition on the oxygen concentration in waste and in emitted gases during the intensive phase of UFMSW biostabilization. The study was performed under laboratory conditions. Six different variants of the process (without biochar addition and using 1.5; 3; 5; 10 and 20% of biochar addition) were applied. Subsequent replicates were conducted using an averaged air-flow rate of 0.1, 0.2, and 0.4 m³·d⁻¹·kg dm.org⁻¹. As a result of the conducted experiments, it was found that both the air-flow rate and the addition of biochar have a significant effect on the oxygen concentration in the treatment waste, as well as its content in the outlet air. Using the highest air-flow rate resulted in the oxygen content not decreasing below 14%, both in the free spaces between the waste and in the emitted gases, while the addition of biochar significantly reduced the oxygen concentration. In the case of lower air-flow rate values, the oxygen content decreased even below 5%. It was found that a high addition of biochar (10 and 20% by weight) at the lowest air-flow rate resulted in the occurrence of anaerobic zones in waste in the first days of the intensive process (between days 2 and 6 of the process), as well as absence of oxygen in the outlet air (between days 2 and 4 of the process). Despite this, no methane (biogas) emissions were found in any of the conducted experiments.
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INTRODUCTION
A large mass of municipal solid waste (MSW) is still generated in many countries of the world, where the idea of preventing or minimizing waste in accordance with the circular economy is only just being developed. These wastes are mainly managed in mechanical-biological treatment (MBT) plants (De Gisi et al., 2018; Soboniak and Bień, 2015), where the undersized fraction from municipal solid waste (UFMSW) with a granulation below 80 mm is separated mechanically (Dziedzic, el al., 2015). Due to the low efficiency of selective collection of organic waste (food waste, wood, paper, etc.) in households, a large share of biodegradable waste goes to MSW (Stejskal et al., 2017; Voytovych et al., 2020) and then to UFMSW. As reported by Baran et al. (2016), Dębicka et al. (2017), or Malinowski et al. (2021), the share of biodegradable waste in UFMSW is about 40 wt%. Having considered the foregoing, UFMSW is suitable for processing using biological methods, mainly aimed at limited stabilization of their microbiological activity (Kasiński et al., 2016; Jędrczak and Suchowska-Kisielewicz, 2018). Reaching thermophilic temperature
Aerobic biostabilization is the most popular treatment process for UFMSW (De Gisi et al., 2018). The purpose of this process is to reduce the volume and mass of UFMSW, decrease respiration activity (AT4), microbial activity, carbon and organic matter (OM) content and, as a result, reduce the potential biogas emissions from landfills, where the waste ultimately ends up (Velis et al., 2009; Jędrzak and Suchowska-Kisielewicz, 2018). This process can be carried out in special bioreactors in a one- or two-stage system. Most of the time, the waste stays for at least 2 weeks in thermally isolated and aerated bioreactors (intensive phase), followed by a maturation phase of 6–12 weeks in open windrows (Dziedzic et al., 2015; den Boer and Jędrzak, 2017; Vaverková et al., 2020).

Grzesik and Malinowski (2017) found that the biostabilization has a very negative effect on the environment due to odor emissions, leachate generation and final waste deposition to landfills. In addition, the gases emitted in the biological treatment of waste have to be purified (Białowiec, 2018). Various deodorization methods are used for this purpose (Grzelka et al., 2018; Pawnuk et al., 2020): absorption, adsorption, biological methods, combustion, condensation, encapsulation, and masking. Biofilters (special devices filled with bark, sawdust and woodchips, as well as other similar biological material) are most often used in the existing MBT installations, with greatly varying efficiency ranging from 20 to 99.9%, depending on the type of pollutant removed (Grzelka et al., 2018). This indicates a great need to address this problem in order to find new methods of waste aerobic biostabilization that do not create an environmental burden. The current scientific research in the field of UFMSW biostabilization is mainly focused on eliminating the odors from this process in order to achieve the requirements set by Best Available Techniques (BAT) conclusions on waste treatment issued by the European Commission (BAT, 2018).

Correctly selected aeration is a key factor affecting the correct course of the biostabilization process as well as the reduction of greenhouse gas and odor emissions (Puyuelo et al., 2014). Aeration leads to the removal of excess moisture and excess heat from the process (Knapczyk et al., 2019). Oxygen is essential for the microorganisms responsible for the normal course of aerobic biostabilization (Jędrzak, 2008; Czekala et al., 2015). Oxygen level in treated waste is also a critical parameter on the gaseous emissions of $\text{CO}_2$, $\text{NH}_3$, $\text{NO}_x$, $\text{H}_2\text{S}$, $\text{CH}_4$ and Volatile Organic Compounds (Puyuelo et al., 2014; Baran et al., 2016; Białowiec, 2018). The highest concentrations of the emitted gases and their emissions are observed when the highest temperatures of the treated waste are reached (Baran, et al. 2016). This is due to, among other things, the high activity of microorganisms responsible for the decomposition of the organic matter contained in waste (Jędrzak, 2008).

The correct selection of the air-flow rate should prevent the formation of anaerobic zones in treated waste, which pose a real threat to the health and life of workers operating the biostabilization process in the long term. The correct oxygen concentration in the free spaces of processed waste should be between 12 and 21% (optimum range >15%) (Jędrzak, 2008). Aerobic microorganisms die without sufficient oxygen supplied to the process, and their place is taken by anaerobic microorganisms. This happens when the oxygen content in the free spaces inside the waste decreases below 5% (Jędrzak, 2008).

Another way to optimize the greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions from the process is to prepare optimal compositions of the mixtures for biological processing, e.g. by adding natural structural materials, the so-called bulking agents (Malinśka and Zabochnicka–Świątek, 2013; Tom et al., 2016; Neugebauer and Sołowicz, 2017), sawdust (Maxianová and Vaverková, 2021), mineral sorbents (Wierzbiska, 2021) or digestate (Malinowski et al. 2021). The applied additives are designed to change the C/N ratio, bulk density (BD) and air-filled porosity (AFP) of the treated waste, which should result in a better oxygen distribution and prevent the formation of anaerobic zones in the treated waste. There is no agreement in the literature on the optimal AFP porosity during composting (Janczak et al., 2017). Michel et al. (2004) pointed out that air-filled porosity of over 75% prevented thermophilic temperatures from being achieved. However, Ahn et al. (2008) reported that the optimal porosity for aerobic decomposition was 85–90%. No such analyses were conducted for the biostabilization process.

One of the additives successfully used in the composting process of the organic fraction of MSW is biochar (Malinowski et al. 2019).
Biochar is a carbon-rich material, obtained in an oxygen-limited environment (Akdeniz, 2019). This substance is characterized by elevated specific surface area, high porosity and water retention capacity (Khan et al. 2014). It is produced from biomass and organic waste. Biochar is stable in terms of chemical composition and does not show susceptibility to degradation or biological decomposition. The main advantages of using biochar in biological treatment of waste include the accumulation of water and nitrogen in the resulting product, as well as the reduction of greenhouse gas emissions and faster decomposition of biodegradable parts of waste in the intensive phase of the composting process (Agyarko-Mintah et al., 2017a; 2017b; Malinowski et al., 2019). This may be related to the physical and physicochemical properties of biochar, such as its specific surface area, carbonaceous functional groups, and optimum pore volume (Godlewksa et al., 2017).

In this paper, the author made an attempt to find an answer to the question of how the addition of biochar affects the oxygen concentration in processed UFMSW and in outlet air (emitted gases) during the intensive phase of the aerobic biostabilization process. There are several scientific papers about the effect of aeration on the processing of green waste and food waste in the composting process (Scaglia et al., 2011; Puyuelo et al., 2014; Kasiński et al., 2016), but to the best of the author’s knowledge, the effect of adding biochar to aerobic biostabilization process has not been investigated.

The author hypothesized that biostabilization of UFMSW with biochar would have a positive effect on the oxygen concentration in the free spaces between treated wastes and in the process gases directed to the biofilter. Moreover, this effect could be modified by the specific content of biochar applied and the air-flow rate.

The aim of this study was to assess whether, the application of biochar at six various doses affects the course of the biostabilization process (3-week intensive phase) conducted under laboratory conditions and if so, then to what extent. The evaluation included changes in temperature and oxygen concentration in the free spaces between the treated wastes and in the process gases directed to the biofilter. The changes in bulk density (BD) and air-filled porosity are also described in the paper. The main novelty of this research consists in studying the effect of biochar addition on the biostabilization process (3-week intensive phase) of UFMSW.

**MATERIAL AND METHODS**

The biostabilization process is technologically similar to the composting of food waste, but it results in waste called stabilized waste, rather than compost (fertilizer). The process involves the microorganisms contained in waste, which maintain activity and process organic matter as a result of air flow and release thermal energy in the course of enzymatic reactions (Malinowski et al., 2021). The tests were performed using insulated BKB 100 laboratory bioreactor (ROTAMETR, Gliwice, Poland) with a working volume of 116 dm³ and a working height of 99 cm. Its working principle was described in detail by Baran et al. (2016) and Malinowski et al. (2021). The conducted study was focused on the intensive phase of aerobic biostabilization. The analyzed time for each mixture was the first 21 days (intensive phase). The tests were carried out under laboratory conditions at a stable temperature (19.6 ± 1.0°C). The temperature of the waste received for the process was 14.4 ± 2.1°C.

The waste samples used in this research consisted of UFMSW produced during the process of mechanical treatment (MSW treatment on 80 mm trommel screen) in an MBT plant (MIKI Recykling Ltd.) in Kraków (Poland) (50.032445247N, 20.061035156E). Biochar was produced in Poland from wood chips by using the pyrolysis process at 550°C. It was obtained in the Thermalization Energy Recovery Module (Malinowski et al. 2019). The biochar used in this study contained over 80% of C, was characterized by high AFP (over 85%) and low pH, low moisture content and bulk density. Its physicochemical characterization was very similar to that reported by Malinowski et al. (2019). Six different doses of biochar were applied in the process: B1.5%, B3%, B5%, B10%, B20% and B0% without the addition of biochar as a control sample (% are expressed as wet weight).

For each biochar addition, repeated biostabilization processes were conducted at different average air-flow rates (0.1; 0.2 and 0.4 m³ of air per kg of d.m.org per 24h). The intensity of aeration was controlled as a function of waste temperature. The temperature was prevented from exceeding 65°C during the process. The air-flow rates used in the experiment were selected based on the results of Tom et al. (2016), Yuan et al. (2017) and Neugebauer et al. (2018). The initial mass of waste placed in the bioreactor was...
50.5±3.8 kg. Leachate and scrapings were directed back to the process.

The process temperature was measured using the Pt 1000 temperature probes placed inside the bioreactor. The process gas analysis was performed using the NANOSENS DP-28-MAP analyzer (NANOSENS, Tarnowo Podgórne, Poland) enabling the measurement of O$_2$ (±0.1%), CO$_2$ (±1%), CH$_4$ (±1%), NH$_3$ (±1 ppm) and H$_2$S (±1 ppm) content. This article describes the effect of biochar and different air-flow rates on the oxygen concentration in the free spaces between the treated wastes and in the process gases directed to the biofilter in terms of BD changes and AFP of treatment mixtures (with and without biochar addition). The concentration of individual gases was measured using 6 probes placed at different heights of the waste retention, and 2 probes in the gases entering the biofilter. The method of placing the probes in the waste was based on the results of studies by Malinowski (2017), who analyzed the temperature changes at different heights of waste retention, and 2 probes in the gases entering the biofilter. This method of placing the probes in the waste was based on the results of studies by Baptista et al. (2010; Malińska, and Zabochnicka–Świątek, M., 2013; Janczak et al., 2017):
material composition of treated waste. The same relationship was also shown in their study for the composting process by Janczak et al. (2017). The BD of waste increased in each of the experiments conducted, with the increase depended mainly on the intensity of aeration. At the lowest air flow, a small amount of water was removed from the waste and as the volume decreased and the leachate was returned to the process, the density of the waste increased significantly (by 46.9 kg m\(^{-3}\) on average). At aeration with an average air-flow rate of 0.4 m\(^3\).d\(^{-1}\).kg dm.org\(^{-1}\), the increase in waste density was no longer as high (with 25.9 kg m\(^{-3}\) on average), due to intensive water drainage, which was associated with a significant decrease in waste mass. Correlation analysis showed that as the aeration intensity increased, the value by which BD increased decreased statistically significantly (r=–0.61).

The waste density, dry matter, and organic matter content allowed the calculation of the AFP of the treated waste. For UFMSW, an AFP value of about 60% guarantees the correct course of the biostabilization process and, in addition, this value usually increases with time (Malinowski et al. 2021). The AFP value for the analyzed UFMSWs was 66.9% and increased with the amount of biochar added (Table 1). As in the case of BD, these changes are not linear due to the heterogeneous material composition of treated waste. As a result of the process, the AFP value took both higher and lower values than the initial ones (Table 1). With increasing aeration intensity, there was generally an increase in AFP (r=0.67), while the greater the addition of biochar, the smaller the change in the AFP values (r=−0.52).

Figure 2 shows the oxygen concentration in the treated waste as an average of the measurement locations, while Figure 3 shows the oxygen concentration in the waste gases directed to the biofilter. In each bioreactor, the initial oxygen concentration reached 20.7%, which was identical with its content in the atmospheric air. A decrease in the amount of oxygen in the bioreactor chambers was observed over the course of the experiment, resulting from the oxygen consumption by aerobic microorganisms. Malinowski et al. (2019) reported that the biochar addition to the composting process under real condition effects increased the number of thermophilic bacteria in the first 14 days of the process. These bacteria

Table 1. Characteristics of bulk density and air-filled porosity before and after the biostabilization process of UFMSW without biochar (B0%) and with biochar at five different doses (trials 2, 3, 4, 5 and 6)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Unit</th>
<th>Run 1 (B0%)</th>
<th>Run 2 (B1.5%)</th>
<th>Run 3 (B3%)</th>
<th>Run 4 (B5%)</th>
<th>Run 5 (B10%)</th>
<th>Run 6 (B20%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BD – initial</td>
<td>kg m(^{-3})</td>
<td>459.5</td>
<td>444.0</td>
<td>420.9</td>
<td>410.1</td>
<td>399.1</td>
<td>383.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BD – final</td>
<td>kg m(^{-3})</td>
<td>499.2</td>
<td>483.9</td>
<td>457.8</td>
<td>442.4</td>
<td>475.5</td>
<td>422.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AFP – initial</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>66.9</td>
<td>67.3</td>
<td>70.5</td>
<td>70.5</td>
<td>71.8</td>
<td>73.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AFP – final</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>66.6</td>
<td>67.6</td>
<td>70.6</td>
<td>70.0</td>
<td>68.8</td>
<td>71.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Air-flow rate: 0.2 m\(^3\).d\(^{-1}\).kg dm.org\(^{-1}\)

| BD – initial | kg m\(^{-3}\) | 487.2 | 480.1 | 453.8 | 443.0 | 432.9 | 421.5 |
| AFP – initial | % | 66.9 | 67.3 | 70.5 | 70.5 | 71.8 | 73.0 |
| AFP – final  | % | 69.6 | 69.1 | 71.8 | 71.0 | 72.0 | 72.5 |

Air-flow rate: 0.4 m\(^3\).d\(^{-1}\).kg dm.org\(^{-1}\)

| BD – initial | kg m\(^{-3}\) | 484.4 | 474.4 | 440.9 | 430.2 | 424.4 | 417.4 |
| AFP – initial | % | 66.9 | 67.3 | 70.5 | 70.5 | 71.8 | 73.0 |
| AFP – final  | % | 70.5 | 70.3 | 72.7 | 72.0 | 72.6 | 73.0 |

BD – Bulk Density, AFP – Air-Filled Porosity
consume the oxygen supplied to the process and can eventually lead to anaerobic conditions (Jędrczak 2008). Both air-flow rate and biochar addition determined significant changes in oxygen concentration.

a) Air-flow rate: 0.1 m$^3$ d$^{-1}$ kg dm.org$^{-1}$  
b) Air-flow rate: 0.2 m$^3$ d$^{-1}$ kg dm.org$^{-1}$  
c) Air-flow rate: 0.4 m$^3$ d$^{-1}$ kg dm.org$^{-1}$

The oxygen content of the free spaces in the waste decreased intensively during the first days of the process. This is characteristic of biological waste treatment processes (Czekała et al. 2015). The highest dynamics of changes in oxygen concentration were observed at an air-flow rate aeration of 0.4 m$^3$ d$^{-1}$ kg dm.org$^{-1}$. In this case, the changes in oxygen concentration were the fastest to occur, but the oxygen content of the waste did not decrease below 14%. Moreover, the differences in the oxygen concentration between the sample without and with biochar addition were statistically significant (p-value <0.05) between hours 30 and 60 of the process. The results clearly show that the transfer of O$_2$ into the bioreactor was always higher than the consumption by the microorganisms, as in the experiments conducted by Contreras-Cisneros et al. (2021). However, according to the guidelines set by Jędrczak (2008), aeration with a flow rate of 0.4 m$^3$ d$^{-1}$ kg dm.org$^{-1}$ should be considered optimal. The lowest oxygen concentration values were observed for biochar additives of 5%, 10% and 20%. From day 10 of the process onwards, the oxygen concentration in the waste was maintained at over 19%.

The use of a twice lower air-flow rate in almost all replicates (except for the 5% addition of biochar) resulted in the oxygen concentration decreasing below 5% between hours 24 and 80 of the process (Fig. 2). The differences in oxygen concentration at this time were not statistically significant (p-value < 0.05). Baran et al. (2016) observed that in the case of waste not supplemented with biochar in the aerobic biostabilization process, the oxygen concentration decreased below 4% between days 2 and 4 of the process. The oxygen content of 19% was only restored after 15 days of the process.

Oxygen concentration remained at low values the longest during the process using the lowest air-flow rate: 0.1 m$^3$ d$^{-1}$ kg dm.org$^{-1}$. With the addition of 10% and 20% biochar, it was found that between day 2 and 6 of the process, the oxygen concentration decreased to 0%, which resulted in the development of anaerobic zones. Despite this, no methane (CH$_4$) emissions were found from the open spaces between the wastes. This was most likely affected by the laboratory scale of the experiment, the continuous feeding of air into the bioreactor and the short duration of the anaerobic zones. At the lowest air-flow rate, the differences in the waste oxygen concentration between samples B0%, B1.5%, B3% and B5% were not statistically significant.

The lack of oxygen in the treated waste may have been directly related to the increased microbial activity resulting from the large addition

![Fig. 2. O$_2$ concentration in the free air spaces in waste treated in the bioreactor.](https://repo.ur.krakow.pl/Downloaded from Repository of University of Agriculture in Krakow 2022-03-07)
of biochar. According to Lehmann and Joseph (2015), owing to its unique properties, biochar is a valuable source of mineral substances for microorganisms, including magnesium, calcium and carbonates. Additionally, due to the structure of biochar, its high porosity and ability to bind water molecules, it may also contribute to an increase in the abundance of microorganisms (Wei et al., 2014). It is worth noting that in the case of the addition of 10 and 20% biochar, there was simultaneously the greatest increase in BD and a significant decrease in AFP, generally indicating an abnormal process as a result of excessively high biochar addition and too low air-flow rate.

a) Air-flow rate: 0.1 m$^3$ d$^{-1}$ kg dm.org$^{-1}$
b) Air-flow rate: 0.2 m$^3$ d$^{-1}$ kg dm.org$^{-1}$
c) Air-flow rate: 0.4 m$^3$ d$^{-1}$ kg dm.org$^{-1}$

The oxygen concentration in the gases emitted from the biostabilization process (Fig. 3) was very similar to the oxygen content in the free spaces between the wastes. However, it is significant that in the case of the lowest air-flow rate value, a reduction of the time of oxygen absence (occurrence of anaerobic zones) in outlet air was observed for replicates with the addition of 10 and 20% biochar (between days 2 and 4 of the process). At air-flow rate of 0.2 m$^3$ d$^{-1}$ kg dm.org$^{-1}$ and with 10% biochar addition, zero oxygen concentration in the process exhaust gases was found at hour 76 of the process. No methane content was recorded in the air directed to the biofilter.

CONCLUSIONS

The following conclusions were formulated based on the obtained results:

1. With a high addition of biochar (more than 10%) and low aeration of waste (0.1 m$^3$ d$^{-1}$ kg dm.org$^{-1}$), complete oxygen consumption by the microorganisms involved in waste processing can occur during the intensive phase of the aerobic biostabilization process. This is associated with the formation of anaerobic zones.

2. The optimal air-flow rate for the aerobic biostabilization process is 0.4 m$^3$ d$^{-1}$ kg dm.org$^{-1}$, which allows the oxygen concentration greater than 14% to be maintained during the entire intensive phase of the biostabilization process, and also affects the increase in the AFP values, independently of the applied biochar addition.

3. No methane emissions were recorded, despite the presence of anaerobic zones during the intensive aerobic phase of biostabilization.

4. The results of these experiments extend the knowledge of the biochar effect on the aerobic biostabilization process, and provide an important report for practitioners.
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Fig. 3. O$_2$ concentration in the outlet air (emitted gases) during biostabilization
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